Project:	Resolution	Adopting a COA for Changes to the Culp Block, 2420 West Main Street
Dates:	Review Du	e: Date Submitted:
	03/30/2017	7 03/14/2017
#	Applicability	
#	YES	TEXT / STAFF COMMENTS
	NA	Applicant comments added to line below applicable statement
When pla		ion to an existing building, consider the effect it will have on the structure. An
addition s	should be com	patible with the primary structure and not detract from one's ability to interpre
	c character.	
		of historically significant features when planning an addition.
1	YES	An addition should not damage or obscure architecturally important features. For
	COMMENTS:	example, avoid altering a historic cornice or parapet line with an addition.
	comments: oes not modify ex	risting structure
3.53 A	n addition shoul	d be compatible with the main building.
2	YES	An addition should relate to the building in mass, scale, character and form. It should
-		appear subordinate to the main structure.
APPLICANT	COMMENTS:	
	YES COMMENTS: ed addition is to b	The materials, window sizes and alignment of trim elements on an addition should be compatible to those of the existing structure.
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The	compatible to those of the existing structure.
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop design rigo	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required o	compatible to those of the existing structure. The constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure.
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop design rigor 4	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA	compatible to those of the existing structure. The constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure. An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate.
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop design rigor 4	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required o	compatible to those of the existing structure. The constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure. An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate. An addition should be simple in design to prevent it from competing with the primary
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop design rigor 4 5	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA	compatible to those of the existing structure. The constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent of existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure. An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate.
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop design rigor 4 5 APPLICANT	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA YES COMMENTS:	compatible to those of the existing structure. The constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure. An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate. An addition should be simple in design to prevent it from competing with the primary
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop design rigor design rigor 4 5 APPLICANT The additio	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA YES COMMENTS:	compatible to those of the existing structure. The constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure. An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate. An addition should be simple in design to prevent it from competing with the primary facade.
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop design rigor 4 5 APPLICANT	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA YES COMMENTS: n will be a single of	compatible to those of the existing structure.   be constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure.   An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate.   An addition should be simple in design to prevent it from competing with the primary facade.   material and a simple cube the addition and front façade are not visible together.   Greater flexibility of non-visible facades is appropriate. (See Chapter II for more
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop design rigor 4 5 APPLICANT The additio 6 APPLICANT	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA YES COMMENTS: n will be a single of YES COMMENTS:	compatible to those of the existing structure. Deconstructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure. An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate. An addition should be simple in design to prevent it from competing with the primary facade. material and a simple cube the addition and front façade are not visible together. Greater flexibility of non-visible facades is appropriate. (See Chapter II for more information on applying flexibility in the treatment of historic properties.)
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop design rigor 4 5 APPLICANT The additio 6 APPLICANT	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA YES COMMENTS: n will be a single of YES COMMENTS:	compatible to those of the existing structure.   be constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure.   An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate.   An addition should be simple in design to prevent it from competing with the primary facade.   material and a simple cube the addition and front façade are not visible together.   Greater flexibility of non-visible facades is appropriate. (See Chapter II for more
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop design rigor 4 5 APPLICANT The additio 6 APPLICANT This qualifi	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA YES COMMENTS: n will be a single of YES COMMENTS: es as a non-visible	compatible to those of the existing structure. The constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure. An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate. An addition should be simple in design to prevent it from competing with the primary facade. material and a simple cube the addition and front façade are not visible together. Greater flexibility of non-visible facades is appropriate. (See Chapter II for more information on applying flexibility in the treatment of historic properties.) a façade as it is not visible from the front. al impacts of skylights and other rooftop devices.
APPLICANT The proposi with the ex by the prop design rigor 4 5 APPLICANT The additio 6 APPLICANT This qualifi 3.54 M	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA YES COMMENTS: n will be a single of YES COMMENTS: es as a non-visible	compatible to those of the existing structure. The constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure. An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate. An addition should be simple in design to prevent it from competing with the primary facade. material and a simple cube the addition and front façade are not visible together. Greater flexibility of non-visible facades is appropriate. (See Chapter II for more information on applying flexibility in the treatment of historic properties.) a façade as it is not visible from the front. al impacts of skylights and other rooftop devices.
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop design rigor 4 5 APPLICANT The additio 6 APPLICANT This qualifi 3.54 M 7	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA YES COMMENTS: n will be a single of YES COMMENTS: es as a non-visible finimize the visu	compatible to those of the existing structure. De constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure. An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate. An addition should be simple in design to prevent it from competing with the primary facade. material and a simple cube the addition and front façade are not visible together. Greater flexibility of non-visible facades is appropriate. (See Chapter II for more information on applying flexibility in the treatment of historic properties.) e façade as it is not visible from the front. al impacts of skylights and other rooftop devices. A skylight that is flush with the roof plane may be considered on the rear and sides of the roof.
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop design rigor 4 5 APPLICANT The additio 6 APPLICANT This qualifi 3.54 M 7	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA YES COMMENTS: n will be a single of YES COMMENTS: es as a non-visible finimize the visu	compatible to those of the existing structure.   be constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure.   An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate.   An addition should be simple in design to prevent it from competing with the primary facade.   material and a simple cube the addition and front façade are not visible together.   Greater flexibility of non-visible facades is appropriate. (See Chapter II for more information on applying flexibility in the treatment of historic properties.)   e façade as it is not visible from the front.   al impacts of skylights and other rooftop devices.   A skylight that is flush with the roof plane may be considered on the rear and sides of the roof.   The addition of features such as skylights should not interrupt the plane of the historic
APPLICANT The propose with the ex- by the prop design rigor 4 5 APPLICANT The additio 6 APPLICANT This qualifi 3.54 N 7 8	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA YES COMMENTS: n will be a single of YES COMMENTS: es as a non-visible finimize the visu NA NA	compatible to those of the existing structure. The constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure. An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate. An addition should be simple in design to prevent it from competing with the primary facade. material and a simple cube the addition and front façade are not visible together. Greater flexibility of non-visible facades is appropriate. (See Chapter II for more information on applying flexibility in the treatment of historic properties.) e façade as it is not visible from the front. al impacts of skylights and other rooftop devices. A skylight that is flush with the roof plane may be considered on the rear and sides of the roof. The addition of features such as skylights should not interrupt the plane of the historir roof, and should be located below the ridgeline.
APPLICANT The propose with the ex by the prop design rigor 4 5 APPLICANT The additio 6 APPLICANT This qualifi	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA YES COMMENTS: n will be a single of YES COMMENTS: es as a non-visible finimize the visu	compatible to those of the existing structure. The constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistent d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure. An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate. An addition should be simple in design to prevent it from competing with the primary facade. material and a simple cube the addition and front façade are not visible together. Greater flexibility of non-visible facades is appropriate. (See Chapter II for more information on applying flexibility in the treatment of historic properties.) e façade as it is not visible from the front. al impacts of skylights and other rooftop devices. A skylight that is flush with the roof plane may be considered on the rear and sides of the roof. The addition of features such as skylights should not interrupt the plane of the historir roof, and should be located below the ridgeline. Locate electronic data transmission and receiving devices to minimize impacts to the
APPLICANT The propose with the ex- by the prop design rigor 4 5 APPLICANT The addition 6 APPLICANT This qualifi 3.54 M 7 8 9	COMMENTS: ed addition is to b isting building and perty owner. The r that is required of NA YES COMMENTS: n will be a single of YES COMMENTS: es as a non-visible finimize the visu NA NA	compatible to those of the existing structure. The constructed by using shipping container construction. The scale / mass / height is consistend d existing structures in the alley, as it does not extend above 2 stories if the roof deck is pursue area context has 1, 2, and 3 story building typical. We are hoping there is some flexibility on the on the Main Street side of the structure. An addition to the front of a building is inappropriate. An addition should be simple in design to prevent it from competing with the primary facade. material and a simple cube the addition and front façade are not visible together. Greater flexibility of non-visible facades is appropriate. (See Chapter II for more information on applying flexibility in the treatment of historic properties.) e façade as it is not visible from the front. al impacts of skylights and other rooftop devices. A skylight that is flush with the roof plane may be considered on the rear and sides of the roof. The addition of features such as skylights should not interrupt the plane of the histor roof, and should be located below the ridgeline.