Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

All Positions sorted chronologically

As of October 20, 2015, 4:08 PM



As with any public comment process, participation in OpenLittleton is voluntary. The positions in this record are not necessarily representative of the whole population, nor do they reflect the opinions of any government agency or elected officials.

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

As of October 20, 2015, 4:08 PM, this forum had:

Attendees: 176
All Positions: 129
Hours of Public Comment: 6.5

This topic started on September 4, 2015, 11:03 AM.



As with any public comment process, participation in OpenLittleton is voluntary. The positions in this record are not necessarily representative of the whole population, nor do they reflect the opinions of any government agency or elected officials.

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

October 18, 2015, 12:11 PM

I say Yes

As an ex smoker, I realize it's difficult to be told "No Smoking". However, people no longer smoke on aircraft, in most air terminals, most restaurants, etc. It should be matter of fact that smoking in public right of ways should be regulated and in most instances curtailed totally. As a District One resident and homeowner(14 years) I resent having to negotiate tobacco smoke whenever I go to a downtown eatery or pub. The restriction on keeping smoke away from entrances is a joke and the vast majority of smokers completely ignore the setback requirements . The time has come for the council members to do what the Surgeon General did decades ago. Vote yes on keeping tobacco smoke away from the general public.

Norris Davis inside 1 (on forum)

October 13, 2015, 7:41 PM

I say No

I spend a lot of time on the sidewalks of downtown Littleton and I don't see a problem with having to walk around someone who is smoking. I could see the need for such a law if you had hundreds of people out on the walks smoking, but I just don't see that in this case. Let's spend our resources on more important issues please.

Norris Davis

Name not shown inside 3 (on forum)

October 12, 2015, 6:28 PM

I say Yes

I don't want to smell it or inhale it. If people want to kill themselves by smoking then so be it, but they can do it in the privacy of their own home where myself or my children will not be.

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

October 7, 2015, 3:21 PM

I say No

If the Merchants Association were supporting the ban, then I would reconsider my position. I think the city needs to enforce the laws they have on the books rather than create new laws without justification.

Name not available (unclaimed)

October 6, 2015, 4:19 AM

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

I say No

Bryon Schelk outside Littleton Council Districts (on forum)

October 3, 2015, 2:18 PM

I say No

My decision is difficult, I'm an ex smoker that chose to better my life and quit smoking and start using an alternative, this being said I do not enjoy the smell of smoke however if they are used in proper areas I can easily avoid this situation. Also if this ban was put into place would hurt my ability to to use my electronic cigarette device when I would like too and would hinder my decision to visit the town and or business located in the city as well.

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

October 3, 2015, 11:00 AM

I say Yes

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

October 3, 2015, 10:59 AM

I say Yes

My position is straightforward-experts agree second hand smoke from cigarettes and cigars have health implications and therefore I strongly support smoking restrictions/ban. Those who smoke in public are inconsiderate of their surroundings, especially kids and those with health issues. Restaurants especially more than bars should have complete smoking bans. Any plum of smoke emanating from whatever device (cigarette, cigar, e-cig, pipe, joint etc) should be banned in all restaurants. Use the Tavern rooftop for example-why is it fair to subject someone, especially kids, to second hand smoke while they are enjoying their meal outside? In the case of bars I think it would be fair to have designated areas both inside and outside. Public places such as parks, sidewalks, etc.... I would also support smoking restrictions. Overall, whose going to enforce smoking restrictions? Is the Littleton Police going to implement a special division to monitor public smoking in designated vs non-designated areas? At the end of the day it just boils down to narrow self-centered focus of many people and their consideration for others. Because of their lack of consideration smoking restrictions/bans should be implemented.

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

October 2, 2015, 2:23 PM

I say No

It is an outdoor area, a restriction would be difficult to enforce and as a smoker, I tend to avoid cities that have banned smoking. I would hate that to happen with downtown Littleton as I live in the area & frequently shop/dine there. I am also a conscientious smoker and don't smoke in front of open doorways, etc. The shop

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

owner that complained should welcome any potential customers regardless of whether they smoke or not she's in the retail business for goodness sake. People have become way to sensitive.

Name not available (unclaimed)

October 2, 2015, 11:50 AM

I say No

Don't let Littleton become another Nanny State. If you want to restrict real harmful air quality then I would suggest banning cars, trucks, and buses from down town Littleton. The toxic emissions from these sources far out weigh out door second hand tobacco smoke.

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

October 1, 2015, 3:55 PM

I say No

Name not shown inside 3 (on forum)

September 30, 2015, 12:58 PM

I say Yes

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 30, 2015, 8:06 AM

I say Yes

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

September 29, 2015, 1:09 PM

I say Yes

From the earliest arguments against smoking bans there have been doomsday arguments that banning smoking will hurt businesses by driving smokers elsewhere. The truth is that more customers who do not want to suffer through second hand smoke have more than filled the void left by smokers. With all we now know about the horrible effects of second hand smoke I don't see how this ordinance could be considered controversial. I am all for allowing people to do what they want as long as it doesn't impact me. If someone wants to enjoy their smoke then close themselves in their car or residence so they can enjoy all the smoky good carcinogens all to themselves.

If anything the inclusion of a ban on rooftop and back patios should be included. Anyone with children would not want to sit next to someone smoking even in an open air environment.

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

September 29, 2015, 8:11 AM

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

I say No

I don't find it a big problem as it is. What would the city do during the block parties, etc. I think it will be hard to enforce.

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

September 29, 2015, 6:37 AM

I say No

Personally I believe you have a large task at your hands. Too many smokers around and although it is a good idea I do not believe it is attainable.

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 27, 2015, 10:40 PM

I say Yes

Ban it every where.

Name not shown inside 2 (on forum)

September 27, 2015, 9:10 AM

I say Yes

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

September 27, 2015, 8:11 AM

I say Yes

I am definitely in favor of a full ban as well. I, too, enjoy eating out on the rooftop decks, but due to second hand smoke blowing my way, it is something I have to give up and always stay inside. The last time I visited the back deck of the Platte River Grill I may as well have been in an enclosed bar where everyone was smoking; I will never return. Smokers should enjoy their vice in private without hurting the public.

Name not shown inside 2 (on forum)

September 25, 2015, 8:37 AM

I say Yes

Name not shown inside 4 (on forum)

September 23, 2015, 11:38 AM

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

I say No

I walk with my family in downtown Littleton and I don't currently see a big problem with outdoor smoking. I don't think that a smoking ban would make a significant difference.

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 23, 2015, 11:28 AM

I say Yes

Name not shown inside 4 (on forum)

September 20, 2015, 9:12 PM

I say No

Let people smoke in the outdoors. They are already prohibited from indoor smoking.

Name not shown inside 3 (on forum)

September 17, 2015, 10:30 AM

I say No

Don't think we should mess with it.

Name not shown inside 3 (on forum)

September 16, 2015, 2:49 PM

I say No

Enforcing this ordinance would not be easy and would probably fall by the wayside like a lot of the other ordinances that are put in place. For example, there is a littering ordinance, but people litter quite often which is far more offensive to the general population of Littleton.

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 16, 2015, 8:33 AM

I say Yes

I am for the smoking restrictions, it should also include the light rail station in Littleton as I use the light rail and the smokers there do not seem to be aware how offensive their smoke is to non smokers.

Name not shown inside 4 (on forum)

September 16, 2015, 8:12 AM

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

I say No If Colorado is to ban smoking, a legal activity for years. I suggest they remove all ciga should be for all citizens.	eptember 15, 2 garette taxes. eptember 15, 2	Oper	n space
Name not available (unclaimed) Sep			
	eptember 15, 2	2015,	
			7:13 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	eptember 15, 2	2015,	6:55 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say No	eptember 15, 2	2015,	6:55 PM
Patios are already non-smoking. I'm not a smoker, but I think someone going outside sufficient.	de a venue sh	ould b	oe
Gloria Shone inside 3 (on forum) I say Yes	eptember 15, 2	2015,	2:06 AM
Name not shown inside 3 (on forum) I say No	eptember 14, 2	2015,	2:58 PM
Allow smoking in designated places as described.			

Name not shown inside 2 (on forum)

September 13, 2015, 7:48 PM

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

I say No

I was in favor of the full ban on smoking in public. This revised ordinance adds some benefit to public health. But the lessened benefit with a partial ban does not seem worth the enforcement or the infringement on personal liberty.

Scott brinckerhoff inside 4 (on forum)

September 13, 2015, 10:47 AM

I say No

There is already a state law on this issue and it is just fine. No need for a more restrictive city law.

Alana Dylan inside 3 (on forum)

September 12, 2015, 11:57 AM

I say Yes

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

September 12, 2015, 9:24 AM

I say Yes

I am more in favor of an outright ban. Restrictions are more of a compromise for both sides.

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

September 11, 2015, 3:57 PM

I say No

So, shall we now restrict people from wearing cologne or perfume as that can be offensive and dangerous to someone with an allergy? Let's get real, we're a free society and ALL members of that society have rights. Personal respect & rights go both ways. Smoking in alleys...does, anyone consider that a safety issue???!!!

Allan Robertson inside 3 (on forum)

September 10, 2015, 8:22 PM

I say No

Name not shown inside 2 (on forum)

September 10, 2015, 8:09 PM

I say Yes

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

Regarding the revised ban on smoking: it is preferable to ban smoking on rooftop patios and decks within the downtown area. People who want to smoke can still do so in the designated lots and alleys away from other nonsmoking downtown patrons.

Name not shown inside 4 (on forum)

September 10, 2015, 7:17 PM

I say Yes

I don't want to step in spit or dog poo.

I don't want to see garbage in the street.

I don't want to hear the defining sound vehicles with no mufflers.

And I don't want to breath in smoke.

All of the above have restrictions that make Littleton a desirable place to live and visit with the exception of smoking!

These are all related to pollution and public health issues that need restrictions.

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 10, 2015, 3:51 PM

I say Yes

It would be nice to walk down the streets smoke-free

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 10, 2015, 12:57 PM

I say No

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 10, 2015, 12:45 PM

I say No

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 10, 2015, 9:55 AM

I say Yes

Not down town anywhere where others can smell smoke, as in rooftop patios connected to eating establishments. It should be a smoke free zone for all visitors to our city.

Warner Andrews inside 1 (on forum)

September 10, 2015, 8:52 AM

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

I say No

People should be allowed to smoke on the side walks after business hours. Theater goers should be allowed to smoke during intermission of a show by smoking out front on the side walk. I agree with the changes to the regulation.

Name not shown inside 3 (on forum)

September 10, 2015, 5:59 AM

I say No

While smokers are stinky and unpleasant to pass, the hysteria over second hand smoke danger in a wide open space is just that-hysteria. The Declaration and Constitution put individual liberty ahead of democracy, which can lead to oppressive rule over the people. Outdoor smoking neither breaks my leg not picks my pocket as such my liberty is not infringed.

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 10, 2015, 5:55 AM

I say No

While smokers are stinky and unpleasant to pass, the hysteria over second hand smoke danger in a wide open space is just that-hysteria. The Declaration and Constitution put individual liberty ahead of democracy, which can lead to oppressive rule over the people. Outdoor smoking neither breaks my leg not picks my pocket as such my liberty is not infringed.

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 10, 2015, 1:08 AM

I say No

Name not shown inside 4 (on forum)

September 10, 2015, 12:38 AM

I say No

Please don't turn Littleton into a mini Boulder. Apparently most people are unaware of the drastic loss of business (and therefore revenue) Boulder has suffered due to it's draconian use of law to punish those who don't behave the way Boulder wants them to.

There is no utopia. All of us must learn to recognize that living together in harmony means that we must accept each other as we are, warts and all. Draconian rules, however minor, will never build a community, they will only divide us. Respect and consideration from both sides is the only way any community can live together, and rules like this are the harbingers of a sinking community.

Name not shown inside 4 (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 9:19 PM

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

I say Yes	
Name not shown inside 3 (on forum) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 8:59 PM
Name not shown inside 4 (on forum) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 8:25 PM
I am tired of walking through smokers to enter stores/restaurantsthe butts end streetdisgusting!	up on the sidewalk or
Name not shown inside 4 (on forum) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 6:20 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 6:07 PM
Name not shown inside 1 (on forum) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 5:17 PM
I don't want to see Littleton become another Boulder but I don't believe asking pobusinesses is asking too much. Former smoker, over 3 years since my last cig.	eople not to smoke in front of
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 4:17 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 4:12 PM

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 9, 2015, 3:54 PM

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

I say No

Too much Government.

Name not shown inside 2 (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 3:11 PM

I say No

I don't see any issues with the current situation and don't think the city needs yet one more ordinance to enforce.

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 9, 2015, 3:07 PM

I say Yes

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 9, 2015, 3:00 PM

I say Yes

Second-hand smoke is dangerous to non-smokers. It has ill-effects go beyond just the horrible stench of burnt tobacco. All efforts should be made to stomp out this activity, the injurious health effects and the deleterious costs to society as a whole. I for one am sick of picking up their costs in the form of higher insurance costs, welfare impacts and disease.

Name not shown inside 4 (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 2:54 PM

I say No

Please stop trying to control your constituents. I'm not a smoker, and would be thrilled if there were no smoke (of any variety) in my vicinity, but I object whenever one group tries to use government power to force its preferences on another. The businesses in downtown Littleton are more than capable of setting up smoke-free environments for people to enjoy.

Name not shown inside 2 (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 2:53 PM

I say Yes

Name not shown outside Littleton Council Districts (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 2:47 PM

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

I say No

I am a non smoker. But I am an American and I am really tired of freedoms being taken away. I am afraid that this is just the beginning. Next .. no dogs downtown (a couple of merchants have already been told to remove doggie water bowl from in front of their store) .. how about a dress code? no short-shorts - too revealing, then maybe no tattoos - to scary. The State law that is already in effect is enough. Don't make Littleton another Boulder "Three square miles surrounded by reality"

Name not available (unclaimed)	September 9, 2015, 2:38 PM
I say Yes	
Name not shown inside 1 (on forum) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 2:31 PM
The more I am away from smoking the more I hate the smell of it. This is rather smoker. Council could easily ban all smoking in the area. I believe that is what	•
Name not available (unclaimed) I say No	September 9, 2015, 1:45 PM
Louise Smith inside 3 (on forum) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 1:39 PM
Name not available (unclaimed)	September 9, 2015, 12:48 PM

I say No

If all these laws regarding smoking bans keep progressing, it'll end up you wont be even able to smoke in your own front yard, just because someone may walk on the city owned sidewalk's, which by the way homeowners have to maintain.

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 9, 2015, 12:46 PM

I say Yes	
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 12:26 PM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say No	September 9, 2015, 12:19 PM
Name not shown inside 2 (on forum) I say No	September 9, 2015, 12:10 PM
Law by law America has lost so many freedoms. I am old and when p except church and theaters they died at the same rate people are dying from cigarette smoke in the open air when the open air is full of pollute country going to develop some common sense?	ng now. How can a person get cancer
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 12:09 PM
Name not shown inside 2 (on forum) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 11:30 AM
Name not shown inside 4 (on forum) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 11:21 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 11:19 AM
Keith Fuchser inside 4 (on forum)	September 9, 2015, 11:05 AM

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

I say Yes

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 9, 2015, 11:02 AM

I say Yes

Had enough second-hand smoke in our lives. Ban smoking is public places.

Meghan Baldwin (unverified)

September 9, 2015, 10:54 AM

I say Yes

I fully support this van and wish it included patios for eating establishments. There is nothing more unpleasant than inhaling second hand smoke while you are trying to enjoy your meal.

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 10:45 AM

I say No

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 9, 2015, 10:33 AM

I say Yes

Name not shown inside 4 (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 10:25 AM

I say Yes

Second-hand smoke makes 2 family members ill, and so we don't go downtown.

Name not shown inside 2 (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 10:20 AM

I say Yes

I don't like the change to allow smoking on rooftop patios and back patios. Why make this concession? Still, any smoking ban is good, as far as I'm concerned. Smoking downtown, where there are so many people who are not smoking, shouldn't be allowed.

Name not shown inside 4 (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 10:12 AM

I say Yes	
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 10:12 AM
Name not shown inside 1 (on forum) I say No	September 9, 2015, 10:12 AM
I don't want to live in a world where I have to shove my smok	er-friends behind a back alley.
Name not available (unclaimed) I say No	September 9, 2015, 10:12 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 10:09 AM
David Rosenthal inside 4 (on forum) I say No	September 9, 2015, 10:04 AM
I have never smoked, but don't support this ordinance. Peopl sidewalks if they so choose. Forcing them to parking lots or s businesses.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
Philip Lutz inside 1 (on forum) I say Yes Good idea.	September 9, 2015, 9:59 AM
Name not available (unclaimed)	September 9, 2015, 9:57 AM

I say No	
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 9:46 AM
Name not shown inside 4 (unverified) I say No	September 9, 2015, 9:43 AM
Smoking should be banned outright. I too would like to sit at a rooftop patio, a parking) part in parking lots at the rear of some restaurants. To be restricted to exhaust fumes) is not desirable. While smokers may opt to no longer visit Little smokers would.	o only interior or front patios (with
Name not shown inside 4 (on forum) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 9:40 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say No	September 9, 2015, 9:40 AM
Name not shown inside 1 (on forum) I say No	September 9, 2015, 9:39 AM
Betty Harris inside 2 (on forum) I say No	September 9, 2015, 9:37 AM
This is a silly restriction and will surely be detrimental to bringing people into the nonsmoker and hate being around smokers however, we should encourage pethem and push them away.	
Name not available (unclaimed)	September 9, 2015, 9:35 AM

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

I say No

Who are you as council to make these life decisions for all? It is too much power and control for council to have over lives. If someone is smoking and others do not like it they can leave.

Name not available (unclaimed)

September 9, 2015, 9:35 AM

I say Yes

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 9:34 AM

I say No

No - I believe that enforcing current smoking restrictions would have a similar effect.

Name not shown outside Littleton Council Districts (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 9:34 AM

I say Yes

I fully concur that the main sidewalks should be smoke free, so long as private establishments can still maintain their smoking patios/rooftops and it does not affect private residences. I frequently visit various restaurants/bars in downtown Littleton because I can smoke in their designated smoking areas while respectfully enjoying a meal or beer. I know several other people besides myself that will discontinue visitation of downtown Littleton if we were banned from doing so. I appreciate that you've amended the restrictions, and now am able to support the remaining restrictions listed in this measure.

Jen Moser inside 4 (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 9:34 AM

I say Yes

I support this mostly because I often find that someone will be smoking right outside an entrance, so that everyone else has to walk through their smoke to get into the restaurant/business.

Name not shown inside 3 (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 9:33 AM

I say No

Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)

September 9, 2015, 9:32 AM

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

I say No

This restriction stigmatizes smokers and treats them as lower-class citizens. Incidental cigarette smoke on public sidewalks is not a public hazard. I'm allergic to perfumes, which can cause breathing problems, yet there is no restriction on perfume use in downtown Littleton. To me, cigarette smoke and heavy perfume are in the same category. We don't need more regulation. People need to show tolerance for the habits of others.

I am not a smoker, so that does not influence my opinion.

Name not available (unclaimed) I say No	September 9, 2015, 9	9:31 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 9	9:29 AM
This would give smokers a nearby place to smoke and enable	non-smokers to enjoy Main St.	
Name not shown inside 4 (on forum) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 9	9:28 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 9	9:27 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say No	September 9, 2015, 9	9:25 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say No	September 9, 2015, 9	9:24 AM
Name not available (unclaimed)	September 9, 2015, 9	9:23 AM

I say Yes			
Name not available (unclaimed) I say No	September	9, 2015,	9:23 AM
Porter Lansing inside 1 (on forum) I say Yes	September	9, 2015,	9:17 AM
Name not shown inside 3 (on forum) I say No	September	9, 2015,	8:51 AM
Name not shown inside 4 (on forum) I say Yes	September	9, 2015,	8:20 AM
Name not shown inside 2 (on forum) I say No	September	9, 2015,	7:45 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say No	September	9, 2015,	7:27 AM
I have not experienced any problems with smokers and think what is already in p	lace works.		
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes Yes. I prefer no smoking.	September	9, 2015,	7:18 AM
Name not shown outside Littleton Council Districts (on forum)	September	9, 2015,	7:15 AM

I say No	
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 6:58 AM
Beverly Cummiskey outside Littleton Council Districts (on forum) I say No	September 9, 2015, 6:56 AM
We have the 15ft nowno need for more rules Enforce the current ordinance.	
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 6:10 AM
Name not shown inside 4 (on forum) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 5:56 AM
Ban smoking all together would be my preference.	
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 5:55 AM
Name not shown inside 2 (on forum) I say Yes	September 9, 2015, 5:17 AM
Name not available (unclaimed) I say Yes	September 7, 2015, 8:14 PM
Name not shown inside 1 (on forum)	September 4, 2015, 9:04 PM

Should the City of Littleton implement restrictions on smoking in a defined area of Downtown?

I say No

there have been smoking restrictions in place in littleton since 2006. The proposed ordinance does nothing to improve upon the current ordinance except to further ostracize and humiliate citizens who smoke. The proposed legislation relegates smokers to places such as private parking lots. I suspect, if passed, the ownership of those private lots would not allow smoking on their properties, further reducing the allowable areas for law abiding citizens to smoke. Back alley restrictions would cause hardship on downtown merchants to offer safe and legal access for smokers to use their back exits. For instance, would these back exits now be subject to the American with Disabilities Act to provide handicap access to such areas? This ordinance has far reaching consequence to both the public and merchants of downtown Littleton. This ordinance should be tabled permanently. Thank you. A non-smoker

Page 23 of 23