

Survey Responses

	Identification	ranking	What specifically do you like about the proposal?	Potential challenges?	What would you change and why?	What questions or issues would you like City Council to address?
1	Resident;	5		The definition of "at least some" seems unnecessary - that kind of language should not be in a legal code anyway, you should just be specific about requirements within the code and therefore not need to absurdly define the term "at least some". No user of the code outside of city staff is going to know they need to look up the definition of "at least some".		
2	Resident;	2			This code does not do enough to address PARKING.	Please consider the parking
3	Resident;Business owner/ employee in Littleton;	3	Enforcement of design consistency. Specifically that there is some degree of uniformity for the area.		I would ask that a fair percentage of open space or landscaping be mandated for MDUs. Slot homes are an eye sore and lack aesthetic quality when they take up every inch of a lot. There should be requirements for appropriate "green space" in these projects (and not just along the driveway).	Why the need to accommodate higher density housing in the area? Larger populations create tax revenue but also create infrastructure burden. The idea that we need to create affordable housing, etc. is fraught with inherent community costs. The need is largely driven by developers and the city's want for higher budgets - paid for by associated taxes. But is this what the residents want? I think you need to ask those that elected you, and be honest on the costs of greater residential density.
4	Business owner/ employee in Littleton;	5		The link on this page goes to proposed changes to the Downtown Design Standards. However, the questions in this survey reference code revisions, not design standards.	The definition of porch talks about having a separate roof. This may not always be the case. Also, the term living area might be better described as indoor living area.	Related to this project, or in general? I would like Council to address the need for more affordable housing downtown.
5	Resident;	4	I like the moratorium and controlling the slot houses (not sure if this is what they are called)	No sure I have technical expertise to comment on this. But someone is always not happy. I feel that developers and gov. Desire for more tax base drive too much in the decision making arena.	Keeping Littleton quaint and not destroying the character of our community. It would of been an excellent compromise to keep the older part of the new development at Littleton Blvd and Bemis (the original 1930's-40's part) of the building and add a new part. But the entire thing was removed and an ugly building in its place. Sad.	Stop letting developers control what you do. Also I hope the council has money put away for a rainy day fund. I know Branding, surveys, and inclusion are important, but how much money has been spent on these activities?
6	Resident;	4	More clarity through better definition of terms	The amount of live plant material (75%) required in landscaping.	Reduce the amount of required live plant material to 40%. This is an arid, high-plains climate and fresh water availability is only getting worse. Requiring people to put water on landscaping here is bad for the future!! Our community can be beautiful without landscaping that mimics parts of the country that get 30+ inches of rain per year.	
7	Resident;Business owner/ employee in Littleton;	4	acknowledges specific attempts to squeeze density regardless of residential character	no horizontal windows on facade may not result in pleasing aesthetics.. 70% landscaped area organic? i love plants but could foresee improvements of artificial turfs and things that look amazing, requiring this could just result in abundant weeds.	i own commercial office and residential home in city. barely got our small service usage approved due to only having 7 parking spaces (for four tenants). large development with zero parking makes clear the inconsistently applied standards and leaves public perception its all who you know. the view needs to be protected and highlighted in all downtown development. ps, when do we get our lead test kits from Denver water? Also homeless population needs to be designed into future planning, unfortunate downside to real estate boom, lets own it so it doesnt own us.	
8	Resident;	3	Prohibition of slot homes	Pedestrian and--General Standards, 1.2.3: Lines 32-36: Can see one exterior door facing the street, but two? a) Shouldn't the second be elsewhere in case of fire? and b) Doesn't it seem reasonable that the rqmt for 2 street-side doors would adversely limit interior living configuration and design?		
9	Resident;	5	1.4.1, Utility appurtenances must be located adjacent to the alley or on the side of a unit, and not on the street facing side of a unit (Downtown Littleton Design Standards/Urban Design Site planning).	n/a		
10	Resident;	4				
11	Resident;	4	Gets rid of Slot Homes!			